

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,219	03/26/2004	Ephraim Jeff Gutmark	139121	1927
John S. Beulick	7590 11/08/2007		EXAM	INER
Armstrong Teasdale LLP		RODRIGUEZ, WILLIAM H		
Suite 2600 One Metropolit	tan Square		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO			3746	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

H				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/811,219	GUTMARK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-	
	/William H. Rodríguez/	3746		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	-	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ratute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Exply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			-	
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allocation in accordance with the practice und</li> </ul>	This action is non-final.  wance except for formal matt	•		
Disposition of Claims				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3,6,8 and 15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 4,5,7,9-14 and 16-20 is/are objects</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are</li> </ul>	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application		

#### FINAL REJECTION

This office action is in response to the amendment and remarks filed 09/19/2007.

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 8 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amendment.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "such that air is..." in line 11. It is unclear from the claim whether the recitation "...air.." is referring back to the compressed air from the gas turbine noise suppression system (see line 9 of claim) or to the discharge air from the tubes (see line 7). Further, the claim as written fails to positively recite the relationship/connection between the noise suppression system and the plurality of tubes. Is the compressed air from the noise suppression system the same as the discharge air from the tubes? If this is the case, applicant should clarify the claim by stating something like --channeling compressed air from the gas turbine engine into the manifold that supplies compressed air to the plurality of azimuthally arranged tubes--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 2 recites "such that air ..." in line 3. It is unclear from the claim whether the recitation "...air.." is referring back to the compressed air from the gas turbine noise

suppression system (see line 9 of claim 1) or to the discharge air from the tubes (see line 7 of claim 1). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 15 recites "...to said gas turbine nozzle..." in lines 6-7. It is unclear from the claim whether this recitation is referring back to the **fan nozzle** (see line 3 of claim 15) **or** to **the core nozzle** (see line 2 of claim 15). Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by FR 1.157.063 (reference provided/submitted by applicant).

FR'063 teaches (see particularly figures 3, 4) a gas turbine engine comprising: a core nozzle, a fan nozzle, and a noise suppression system comprising: a plurality of azimuthally arranged tubes 5 around the core engine nozzle and a manifold supplying compressed air to said plurality of tubes, wherein said noise suppression system is selectively operable (selectively turning on/off as needed all or some of the tubes) to facilitate generating a plurality of flow control mechanisms (different flow mechanisms depending on the selectively selected operation) to suppress noise generated by the engine.

With regards to the method claims, since FR'063 has the same structure as claimed, it is inherent that FR'063's device would be able to perform the recited method steps.

Art Unit: 3746

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4, 5, 7, 9-14 and 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Rodríguez whose telephone number is 571-272-4831. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,219 Page 5

Art Unit: 3746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on 571-272-7118. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William H. Rodríguez/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746